## Arsenic Recovery from Water Containing Arsenite Ions by Hydrothermal Mineralization

Takeshi Itakura,1 Ryo Sasai,2 and Hideaki Itoh2

<sup>1</sup>Department of Applied Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8603 <sup>2</sup>Division of Environmental Research, EcoTopia Science Institute, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8603

(Received July 24, 2006; CL-060837; E-mail: hitoh@esi.nagoya-u.ac.jp)

Here, we demonstrated the recovery of arsenic from water containing various concentrations of arsenite ions by hydrothermal mineralization treatment using the mineralizer Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>. As a result, it was found that the yield recovery of hydrothermal mineralization treatment is independent of initial concentration and arsenic valence. Therefore, the present technique is effective for treating water contaminated by arsenic species.

Arsenic is known as toxic element for human being,<sup>1</sup> especially, in case of arsenite ion  $(As^{III}O_3^{3-})$ . Several methods to remove arsenic from aqueous media were reported<sup>2</sup> by using adsorption,<sup>3–5</sup> electro-coagulation,<sup>6,7</sup> membrane,<sup>8,9</sup> and biological method.<sup>10–12</sup> However, these processes have problems of (1) Removal yield is low, especially, arsenite ion. (2) The applicable range of arsenic concentration is limited. (3) Adsorbent or collected residues must be treated as hazardous waste. In order to solve these problems, it is expected that the establishment of precipitation method to form insoluble arsenic compound is effective. In the previous study, we proposed that hydrothermal mineralization, which is an earth-mimetic process of formation of natural mineral by activity of magma.<sup>13,14</sup> Thus, we investigated the arsenic recovery from water by this technique.

Model wastewaters containing  $1-2000 \text{ mg/dm}^3$  of arsenite ions (As<sup>III</sup>O<sub>3</sub><sup>3-</sup>) were prepared by dissolving As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in 0.5 M NaOH solution and neutralizing by HCl in distilled and deionized water. And then, the model wastewaters (30 dm<sup>3</sup>) were sealed in a pressure vessel lined with fluorocarbon resin together with mineralizer Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> and in some cases, with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as oxidizer.

Treatment time dependence of As concentration in the model wastewater treated at  $150 \,^{\circ}$ C with or without 5% of  $H_2O_2$  is shown in Figure 1. Precipitate containing arsenic was found only by adding Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> to the model wastewater (without hydrothermal conditions), and then the concentration of As



**Figure 1.** Dependence of the concentration of As in the treatedwater on treatment time: As,  $2000 \text{ mg/dm}^3$ ; Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>, 0.36 g.  $\bigcirc$ ,  $150 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  without H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>;  $\Box$ ,  $150 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ , with 5% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

was reduced down to  $4 \text{ mg/dm}^3$ . In the hydrothermal mineralization of this model wastewater with Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>, As concentration showed concave curves against treatment time. The increase of As concentration at longer treatment-time region was caused by the nature of As compound produced by the treatment as will be described later. The optimal treatment condition was found at the As concentration reduced to ca.  $0.4 \text{ mg/dm}^3$ , which is one tenth of As concentration before hydrothermal treatment. However, this concentration is still higher than the national effluent standards of Japan (NESJ: 0.1 mg/dm<sup>3</sup>). On the other hand, the addition of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> effectively reduced the As concentration down to  $0.02 \,\mathrm{mg/dm^3}$  under optimal hydrothermal condition, which is lower than the NESJ. This result indicates that the hydrothermal mineralization is effective method to recover arsenite from aqueous media as precipitate, even when the model wastewater contains large amount of arsenite. Therefore, the arsenic recovery mechanism in this treatment is supposed different from that of the conventional lime precipitation method.<sup>15,16</sup>

From XRD, SEM-EDS, and TG-DTA analyses of the precipitates obtained by the addition of  $Ca(OH)_2$  only, the chemical formula of the precipitate was identified as  $Ca_5(AsO_3)_3$ -(OH)•4H<sub>2</sub>O. The analyses of the precipitates after the hydrothermal treatment showed that the crystal water in  $Ca_5(AsO_3)_3$ -(OH)•4H<sub>2</sub>O was eliminated by hydrothermal mineralization treatment. Additionally, the solubilities of  $Ca_5(AsO_3)_3(OH)$ • 4H<sub>2</sub>O and  $Ca_5(AsO_3)_3(OH)$  to water were found 137.9 and 291.0 mg As/dm<sup>3</sup>, respectively, by a simple solubility test. Therefore, the concave tendency in Figure 1 was caused by the elimination of crystal water from  $Ca_5(AsO_3)_3(OH)$ •4H<sub>2</sub>O with an increase in the treatment time according to the reaction in Scheme 1f. The concentration of As in the treated-water was lower than the solubility of these compounds. This tendency can

(a)  $H_2O_2 + Ca(OH)_2 \rightarrow CaO_2 + 2H_2O$ (b)  $2CaO_2 + 2H_2O \rightarrow 2Ca(OH)_2 + O_2$ (c)  $H_2O_2 \rightarrow H_2O + 1/2O_2$ (d)  $CaO + H_2O \rightarrow Ca(OH)_2$ (e)  $3AsO_3^{3-} + 5Ca(OH)_2 + 4H_2O \rightarrow Ca_5(AsO_3)_3(OH) \cdot 4H_2O + 9OH^-$ (f)  $Ca_5(AsO_3)_5(OH) \cdot 4H_2O \rightarrow Ca_5(AsO_3)_3(OH) + 4H_2O$ (g)  $Ca_5(AsO_3)_5(OH) \rightarrow 3AsO_3^{3-} + 5Ca^{2+} + OH^-$ (h)  $AsO_3^{3-} + CaO_2 \rightarrow AsO_4^{3-} + CaO$ (i)  $AsO_3^{3-} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow AsO_4^{3-} + H_2O$ (j)  $3AsO_4^{3-} + 5Ca(OH)_2 \rightarrow Ca_5(AsO_4)_5(OH) + 9OH^-$ 

Scheme 1. Possible reactions in the hydrothermal treatment.



**Figure 2.** XRD patterns of the precipitates obtained by hydrothermal mineralization at 100 °C with Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> and 5% of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. As, 2000 mg/dm<sup>3</sup>. (a) before the treatment, (b) 4 h, (c) 6 h, (d) 18 h.  $\bigcirc$ , Ca<sub>5</sub>(AsO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(OH);  $\blacksquare$ , CaO<sub>2</sub>;  $\Box$ , Ca<sub>5</sub>(AsO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(OH).

be explained by a low solubility of these compounds under hydrothermal condition and/or by the slow solubility rate with relatively large crystal size. Figure 2 shows the XRD patterns of precipitates obtained before and after the hydrothermal treatment using both  $Ca(OH)_2$  and 5% of  $H_2O_2$ . The peaks of  $Ca_5(AsO_3)_3(OH) \cdot H_2O$  and  $Ca_5(AsO_4)_3(OH)$  (arsenate apatite) were observed in all the XRD patterns of the precipitates obtained by 4-8h treatments. Finally, the diffraction peaks changed to  $Ca_5(AsO_4)_3(OH)$  only. These results indicate that the oxidation of arsenite to arsenate occur in the presence of  $H_2O_2$ . This valence change by oxidation is also evident from the XPS spectra of As 3d orbital of them. Thus, the coexistence of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> with Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> immediately gives rise to the oxidation of As<sup>III</sup> and will simultaneously produce arsenate apatite which is known as one of the natural minerals. Additionally, solubility of arsenate apatite is very low (19.5 mg/dm<sup>3</sup>).<sup>16</sup> Therefore, it is found that the hydrothermal mineralization with Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> and  $H_2O_2$  is effective to reduce the concentration of As in the water containing As<sup>III</sup> ions, which are usually difficult to remove. The treatment-time dependence of As concentration showed a concave tendency, even when  $H_2O_2$  is added (cf. Figure 1). This result indicates the As<sup>III</sup> species are still remain in water. However, the As concentration after the hydrothermal treatment for 12 h is lower than the NESJ.

Figure 3 shows the initial concentration dependence of the As concentration after the hydrothermal mineralization using  $Ca(OH)_2$  and  $H_2O_2$  at 100 °C for 12 h. The residual As concentration in the wastewater after hydrothermal mineralization was reduced to less than 0.1 mg/dm<sup>3</sup> except for the case of the wastewater containing 2000 mg/dm<sup>3</sup> of As. Moreover, it was mostly independent on the initial As concentration. From XRD analysis, the crystalline phase of As compound at 1000 mg/dm<sup>3</sup> was  $Ca_5(AsO_4)_3(OH)$  (Other conditions could not be measured because of its low concentration). This result means that the



**Figure 3.** Dependence of the concentration of As in the treatedwater on initial concentration of arsenic. Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>, 0.36 g.  $\bigcirc$ , 3% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 100 °C;  $\Box$ , 5% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 150 °C.

As concentration after the treatment may be provided by only the solubility of the precipitate produced during the hydrothermal mineralization, if the amount of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is enough to convert the As<sup>III</sup> ions into the As<sup>V</sup> ions. Because, the addition of 5% of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> achieved the As concentration reduction to less than  $0.1 \text{ mg/dm}^3$ , even when As concentration was  $2000 \text{ mg/dm}^3$ . This result suggests that the lowest limit of added H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> amount may be fixed by the initial concentration of As in water. The lowest requirement of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentration can be estimated as approximately 50 times mole against arsenite concentration in the solution. Scheme 1 shows possible reactions among the treatment. It is expected that the optimum amount of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> also depended on the added amount of Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>, because H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was consumed by reacting not only with As<sup>III</sup> ion but also with Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> (Schemes 1a, 1b, 1c, and 1d). Two reactions occur (Schemes 1a and 1e) and form CaO<sub>2</sub> and Ca<sub>5</sub>(AsO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(OH).  $4H_2O$  when we add Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> and  $H_2O_2$  in the model wastewater. The reactions shown in Schemes 1a, 1b, 1c, and 1d which are decomposition of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and reduction of CaO<sub>2</sub>, proceed according to hydrothermal treatment. Simultaneously, four reactions occur concerning the formation of arsenate apatite from arsenite (cf. Schemes 1g, 1h, 1i, and 1j). The reaction to produce arsenate apatite (Scheme 1j) would be solid-liquid phase reaction. Because, residual concentration of As increased with decreasing added amount of Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> (cf. Supporting Information). Thus, it is expected that the Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> precipitate is necessary to form arsenate apatite. Therefore, excess amount of Ca(OH)2 had to added against the stoichiometric quantities to form arsenate apatite (0.36 g). These reactions are required to produce precipitate of arsenate apatite from aqueous media containing arsenite ion and to induce the hydrothermal mineralization treatment effectively.

In conclusion, this treatment enables effective recovery of arsenic in water. Additionally, the As concentration in treatedwater is independent on initial concentration of As, because, it depends only the solubility of  $Ca_5(AsO_4)_3(OH)$ . Therefore, it can apply any type of wastewater containing arsenic species.

## **References and Notes**

- 1 M. Bissen, F. H. Frimmel, Acta Hydrochim. Hydrobiol. 2003, 31, 97.
- 2 E. O. Kartinen, Jr., C. J. Martin, *Desalination* **1995**, *103*, 79.
- 3 S. Bang, M. D. Johnson, G. P. Korfiatis, X. Meng, *Water Res.* 2005, 39, 763.
- 4 L. C. Roberts, S. J. Hug, T. Ruettimann, M. M. Billah, A. W. Khan, M. T. Rahman, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2004, 38, 307.
- 5 Y. Kim, C. Kim, I. Choi, S. Rengaraj, J. Yi, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2004, 38, 924.
- N. Melitas, M. Conklin, J. Farrell, Environ. Sci. Technol. 2002, 36, 3188.
- 7 P. R. Kumar, S. Chaudhari, K. C. Khilar, S. P. Mahajan, *Chemosphere* 2004, 55, 1245.
- 8 Ma. de Lourdes Ballinas, E. R. de San Miguel, Ma. T. de Jesús Rodríguez, O. Silva, M. Muñoz, J. de Gyves, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2004, 38, 886.
- 9 H. Okada, Y. Kudo, H. Nakazawa, A. Chiba, K. Mtsuhashi, T. Ohara, H. Wada, *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* 2004, 14, 1576.
- 10 C. T. Kamala, K. H. Chu, N. S. Chary, P. K. Pandey, S. L. Ramesh, A. R. K. Sastry, K. C. Sekhar, *Water Res.* 2005, 39, 2815.
- 11 I. A. Katsoyiannis, A. I. Zouboulis, Water Res. 2004, 38, 17.
- 12 M. X. Loukidou, K. A. Matis, A. I. Zouboulis M. Liakopoulou-Kyriakidou, Water Res. 2003, 37, 4544.
- 13 T. Itakura, R. Sasai, H. Itoh, Water Res. 2005, 39, 2543.
- 14 T. Itakura, R. Sasai, H. Itoh, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2006, 79, 1303.
- 15 J. V. Bothe, Jr., P. W. Brown, Environ. Sci. Technol. 1999, 33, 3806.
- 16 J. V. Bothe, Jr., P. W. Brown, J. Hazard. Mater. 1999, 69, 197.